IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.511 OF 2006

IN

SUMMARY SUIT NO.2105 OF 2006

Hyatt Regency, Mumbai ...Plaintiffs

v/s

UP Media Network Corporation and others ...Defendants

Ms Chaya Shah for Plaintiffs.

Mr V. V. Singh i/b Mr A.R. Mishra for Defendants.

CORAM : D.K. DESHMUKH J.

DATE : 16TH JANUARY 2007.

P.C. :-

1. The plaintiffs have filed this suit for recovery of the amount which is the price of hire charges of illuminated mobile display truck. The plaintiffs rely on several communications with the defendants admitting their liability. The defendants are served with the summons for judgment on 14th December 2006. Within a period allowed by Order XXXVII of C.P.C., the defendants have not made any application for leave to defend the suit. Therefore, in the absence of any application made by the defendants, the plaintiffs, in terms of provisions of Order XXXVII of C.P.C., are entitled to a judgment. I have heard learned counsel appearing for plaintiffs. Perused the record. As the defendants are not entitled to any leave to defend the suit, summons for judgment is granted, suit of the plaintiffs is decreed in terms of prayer clause of the suit. Refund of court fees as per rules.

. Parties to act on the copy of this order duly authenticated by the Associate / Private Secretary as true copy.

. Certified copy expedited.